

# Schema Matching of Health Data Using Foundation Models

Marcel Parciak<sup>1,2</sup>, Brecht Vandevooort<sup>2</sup>, Frank Neven<sup>2</sup>, Liesbet M. Peeters<sup>1,2</sup> and Stijn Vansummeren<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> UHasselt, BIOMED, Agoralaan, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

<sup>2</sup> UHasselt, Data Science Institute, Agoralaan, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium

{marcel.parciak,brecht.vandevooort,frank.neven,  
liesbet.peeters,stijn.vansummeren}@uhasselt.be

*Schema matching* is a core task in data integration, where the aim is to identify correspondences between schema elements from a source schema and a target schema so that, ultimately, data engineers can map values from source to target. We typically differentiate between name-based, i.e. based on names of schema elements, and instance-based, i.e. based on data instances, matching approaches [1]. Recent work focuses on improving the latter approach [3] and combining it with simple name-based matchers. This is challenging when instances are unavailable, such as in privacy-sensitive environments like the health domain. We tackle this challenge by focusing on name-based schema matching approaches.

In recent years, foundation models have shown great promise for data integration tasks [2]. Following this approach, we study name-based schema matching using large language models (LLMs), namely ChatGPT, using names and descriptions of both attributes and relations to drive the schema mapping process.

In this talk, I will present preliminary results towards answering two questions:

- (a) Is an LLM a viable approach for schema mapping in the health domain?
- (b) Which limitations does this approach have?

To answer (a), I will present experiments that include testing different prompts, varying amounts of context information and approaches to evaluate answers from the LLM. The evaluation is done based on a publically available ETL<sup>1</sup>. In response to (b), I will present groups of classification errors and other observations during these experiments, highlighting common pitfalls with foundation models for schema matching tasks.

## References

- [1] AnHai Doan, Alon Halevy, and Zachary G. Ives. *Principles of Data Integration*. Morgan Kaufmann, Waltham, MA, 2012.
- [2] Avanika Narayan, Ines Chami, Laurel Orr, and Christopher Ré. Can Foundation Models Wrangle Your Data?, May 2022.
- [3] Fahad Ahmed Satti, Musarrat Hussain, Jamil Hussain, Syed Imran Ali, Taqdir Ali, Hafiz Syed Muhammad Bilal, Taechoong Chung, and Sungyoung Lee. Unsupervised Semantic Mapping for Healthcare Data Storage Schema. *IEEE Access*, 9:107267–107278, 2021.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/OHDSI/ETL-Synthea/>